UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,238	05/07/2004	David Loakes	056222-5030-01	7610
, - -	7590 03/28/200 VIS & BOCKIUS LLP		EXAMINER	
	LVANIA AVENUE N		WANG, SHENGJUN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/840,238	LOAKES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shengjun Wang	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,17-21,24,25,27,29,30,32-34 and 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,11,24,25,27,34 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,12-15,17-21,29,30,32 and 33 is/ar 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	nd 37 is/are withdrawn from consi re rejected. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the B	deration. Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/207,005. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/13/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/840,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 24, 25,27, 34 and 37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, Claims 10 and 11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on September 19, 2007 and December 7, 2007.

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of invention group I, drawn to pharmaceutical composition in the reply filed on September 19, 2007; and the species of compound defined in claim 14, i.e., N6-methoxy-2-aminopurine riboside, in December 7, 2007. is acknowledged.
- 3. The claims have been examined insofar as they read on the elected invention and species. Note, claims 32 and 33 are interpretated broadly as to read on the elected invention as some pharmaceutical composition, such as aqueous dosage may also suitable for application to plant. These two claims have been examine only to the extent that they read on pharmaceutical composition.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: it is inform to recite a compound by referring to the specification. It is suggested to include the formula in the claims, or recite its chemical name. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Application/Control Number: 10/840,238 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-9, 12-15, 29, 30, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Janion.

Janion teaches N6-methoxy-2-aminopurine riboside, and its water solution. Janion also teaches the phosphate derivative of N6-methoxy-2-aminopurine riboside. See, page 58 the last paragraph bridging to page 59. Note water is a well known pharmaceutical carrier. Further, the "intended use" of a product or composition will not further limit claims drawn to a product or composition. See, e.g., In re Hack 114 USPQ 161. Furthermore, "[T]he discovery of a previously unappreciated property of a prior art composition, or of a scientific explanation for the prior art's functioning, does not render the old composition patentably new to the discoverer." Atlas Powder Co. v. Ireco Inc., 190 F.3d 1342, 1347, 51 USPQ2d 1943, 1947 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Thus the claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-9, 12-15, 17, 21, 29, 30, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuntsen et al. (US 5,430,027).

Application/Control Number: 10/840,238 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

9. Kuntsen et al. teaches 2, N6 substituted adenosine, their pharmaceutical compositions, and activity in treating ischemias. Kuntsen et al. disclose the general formula of the substituted adenosine as follow

Wherein X may be amino, and R1 is Y-R4, wherein Y may be oxygen and R4 C1-6 alkyl. See, particularly, the abstract, column 3, line 24, to column 4, line 18. The compounds may be formulated into a variety of pharmaceutical dosage with conventional adjuvant, carrier, or diluent. See, particularly, col. 7, line 65 to col. 9, line 15. Kuntsen et al. disclose the employment of polyhydroxylated castor oil as carrier (col. 8, lines 58-61), meet the limitation of surfactant recited in claims 33.

Kuntsen et al. do not teach expressly the particular compound elected herein.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made, to make 2-amino, N6-methoxy adenosine and use the same for making pharmaceutical composition for treatment of ischemias because the 2, 6 substituted adenosine, such as those with 2-amino, and 6-methoxy, are known to be similarly useful to other 2, 6-substituted adenosine. The employment of additional 2, 6-substituted adenosine within a composition would have been obvious as they are disclosed as similarly useful. It is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught in the prior art to be useful for same purpose in order to form third composition that is to be used for very the same purpose;

Application/Control Number: 10/840,238

Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in prior art. See In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069. Further, the "intended use" of a product or composition will not further limit claims drawn to a product or composition. See, e.g., In re Hack 114 USPQ 161. Furthermore, "[T]he discovery of a previously unappreciated property of a prior art composition, or of a scientific explanation for the prior art's functioning, does not render the old composition patentably new to the discoverer." Atlas Powder Co. v. Ireco Inc., 190 F.3d 1342, 1347, 51 USPQ2d 1943, 1947 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Thus the claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

- 10. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuntsen et al. (US 5,430,027), for reasons set forth above, in further view of Puglieses.
- 11. Kuntsen et al. do not teach expressly the further incorporation of an antiviral agents, such as those used for treatment of HIV.
- 12. However, Puglieses et al. teaches that HIV patient who take nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor have high incidence of cardiac ischemia. See, particularly, the results at page 283.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to further incorporate the adenosine derivatives herein in a NRTI pharmaceutical composition for HIV patients who developed cardiac ischemia. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to further incorporate the adenosine derivatives herein in a NRTI pharmaceutical composition for HIV patients who

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/840,238

Art Unit: 1617

developed cardiac ischemia because the adenosine derivatives herein are known to treat cardiac

ischemia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Shengjun Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617